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Management Flight Simulators: Flight Training for Managers (Part I)

By Daniel H. Kim

Imagine you're leaving on a six-hour flight from Boston to Los Angeles. As the plane pulls away from the gate, the pilot comes over on the loudspeaker: "Hi, I'm Captain Bob, and I want to thank you for choosing to fly with us today... just wanted to let you know I've recently completed my ground school training, and I have read all the manuals, but this is my first time in the cockpit. So sit back, relax, and enjoy the flight, as we learn together..."

Of course this scenario is ridiculous—a pilot is allowed into a cockpit only after hundreds of hours of experience in a flight simulator. Then he or she spends many additional hours as a co-pilot, assisting in the operation of an aircraft. The result of this careful system of education and training is an industry with the highest safety record of any mode of transportation.

Flight Training for Managers
Imagine if we trained pilots like we do managers; how many people would be willing to take a flight? Managerial training, in the traditional business-school sense, is the equivalent of the ground-school for pilots. Managers-to-be read textbooks and solve already-formulated problems, but they don't get much real experience before they have to perform on-line.

Management Flight Simulators
Management Flight Simulators (MFS) provide a simulated environment in which managers can "learn from experience" in a controlled setting. The simulator captures the inter-connections between the different parts of the system under study and provides a computer interface which allows managers to interact with the model through a familiar "lens" (reports, graphs, and spreadsheets).

Similar to a pilot's flight simulator cockpit, an MFS puts managers in control of a realistic environment where they are in charge of making key decisions similar to the ones they face in their actual work settings (see "Systems Thinking in Action" on page 7 for an example of an MFS project). MFS's are particularly useful for getting away from the details of day-to-day operations and focusing on the long-term dynamics of managerial decisions.

Creating a Flight Simulator
There are four stages involved in creating a management flight simulator: (1) selecting an issue focus, (2) developing a conceptual model, (3) constructing a computer model, and (4) translating the computer model into an interactive simulator (see "Management Flight Simulator Development Stages" figure). These four stages involve integrating many of the tools of systems thinking into a single, powerful learning tool (see "A Palette of Systems Thinking Tools," August 1990 for a description of each of the tools).

1. Select Issue Focus. The first step in designing a flight simulator is to choose an issue to explore. To select a topic, look for a problem symptom that has been around for a long time or a puzzling dynamic you want to investigate (see "The Do's and Don'ts of Systems Thinking on the Job," August 1992, for guidelines on identifying good systems problems). The goal at this stage is to gather relevant data through interviews, company records, and the experience base of those involved in developing the MFS.

For example, let's say we are puzzled by a pattern of oscillating quality levels in the customer service de-
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Customer demand puts pressure on service personnel. An initial response may be to work harder, thus increasing productivity and reducing the pressure (B2). Over time, however, morale can suffer, hurting productivity and increasing the pressure (R3).

The service people tell us people initially respond to the time pressure by working harder, thereby increasing productivity and getting more work done (B2). If the time pressure persists, however, morale declines and begins to hurt productivity even though people continue to work harder. As time pressure escalates, morale spirals downward (R3). By adding additional loops, we can continue building a dynamic theory about our customer service setting.

3. Construct Computer Model

The dynamic theory developed in the conceptual model helps guide the construction of a computer model. It provides a framework for people to distill their experience into explicit statements that can be represented in a computer model. Just as the pilot's flight simulator is created based on the laws of physics and aerodynamics, the computer modeling process uses a set of fundamental building blocks (e.g., accumulators and flows) to represent a coherent set of theories about the interconnections in an organization.

In the customer service quality example, we can start building causal structures that provide plausible explanations for the observed data. When customer demand increases, we know our service people feel added pressure. If the increase in time pressure is not addressed, quality tends to drift downward and eventually dampens demand (B1 in "Time Pressure Loops"). Our service people tell us people initially respond to the time pressure by working harder, thereby increasing productivity and getting more work done (B2). If the time pressure persists, however, morale declines and begins to hurt productivity even though people continue to work harder. As time pressure escalates, morale spirals downward (R3). By adding additional loops, we can continue building a dynamic theory about our customer service setting.

A good simulator interface should provide managers with a set of decisions that either they have control over, or that directly affect them. The main criteria should be that the decisions are directly relevant or easily transferable from the simulator to the workplace.

In the service quality example, the simulator could require managers to make decisions about hiring/firing, monthly production numbers, and quality standards. By implementing a "Quality First" policy, for example, we may discover if we raise quality standards but don't adjust capacity, we actually end up with lower quality in the long run. Quality improves in the short run, but as time pressure persists, morale decreases, turnover increases, which in turn increases time pressure, resulting in more turnover. The overall dynamic is a vicious reinforcing cycle in which capacity continually erodes and quality suffers.

In the Next Issue

The simulator should also provide managers with the same types of reports, spreadsheets, and graphs they use to make decisions. There are many issues involving the design of the simulator's management information system which are entwined with the intended use of the MFS as a whole. These and other issues about the purpose, use, and outcomes of MFS's will be covered in Part II.